## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KAYA SIMIONS,

Plaintiff,

Civil No. 3:13-CV-283

٧.

:

FILED SCRANTON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

(Judge Kosik)

JUL **31** 2014

PER

Defendants.

**ORDER** 

AND NOW, THIS <u>31</u> DAY OF JULY, 2014, IT APPEARING TO THE COURT THAT:

- (1) Plaintiff, Kaya Simions, an inmate who was housed at the United States
  Penitentiary-Canaan, Pennsylvania, brought an action against the United States
  pursuant to the Federal Tort Claims Act;
- (2) The basis of the action was the alleged negligence of the prison in serving chicken fajitas that contained salmonella bacteria;
- (3) The action, along with others, was assigned to Magistrate Judge Martin C. Carlson for oversight;
- (4) Following mandatory mediation, this case was reported settled and was dismissed (Doc. 23);
- (5) Plaintiff filed a Motion to Re-open and Re-consider his lawsuit (Doc. 32) and the United States responded (Doc. 34);
- (6) On May 7, 2014, the Magistrate Judge filed a Report and Recommendation (Doc. 35), recommending that the Motion be denied;
- (7) Specifically, the Magistrate Judge discusses the Treasury Offset Program, which provides for the eligibility of a wide array of payments, including the instant settlement, to offset prior debts, and he discusses the due process protections afforded by the program;

- (8) The Magistrate Judge concluded that Plaintiff's Motion, which seeks to challenge an offset involving his settlement of this action, fails as a matter of law, and that the Motion to Re-open and Re-consider the settlement should be denied;
  - (9) No Objections were filed to the Report and Recommendation; AND, IT FURTHER APPEARING THAT:
- (10) If no objections are filed to a Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the plaintiff is not statutorily entitled to a <u>de novo</u> review of his claims. 28 U.S.C.A.§636(b)(1)(C); <u>Thomas v. Arn.</u> 474 U.S. 140, 150-53 (1985). Nonetheless, the usual practice of the district court is to give "reasoned consideration" to a magistrate judge's report prior to adopting it. <u>Henderson v. Carlson</u>, 812 F.2d 874, 878 (3d Cir. 1987);
- (11) We have considered the Magistrate Judge's Report and we concur with his recommendation;

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- (1) The Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Martin C. Carlson filed May 7, 2014 (Doc. 35) is **ADOPTED**; and
- (2) The Plaintiff's Motion to Re-open and Re-consider his lawsuit (Doc. 32) is **DENIED**.

Edwin M. Kosik

United States District Judge